IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE EASTERN DIVISION

JESSE DEAN, D.C., a Tennessee resident, individually and as the representative of a)
class of similarly-situated persons,)
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 19-1204
v.) CLASS ACTION
CARECORE NATIONAL, LLC, a New York limited liability company,)))
Defendant.)))

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, JESSE DEAN, D.C. ("Plaintiff"), brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, through his attorneys, and except as to those allegations pertaining to Plaintiff or his attorneys, which allegations are based upon personal knowledge, alleges the following upon information and belief against Defendant, CARECORE NATIONAL, LLC ("Defendant"):

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This case challenges Defendant's practice of sending "unsolicited advertisements" by facsimile.
- 2. The federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, as amended by the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005 ("JPFA"), 47 USC § 227 (hereafter "TCPA" or the "Act"), and the regulations promulgated under the Act, prohibit a person or entity from faxing or having an agent fax advertisements without the recipient's prior express invitation or permission. The TCPA provides a private right of action and provides statutory damages of \$500 per violation. On or

about September 15, 2015, Defendant sent Plaintiff an unsolicited fax advertisement in violation of the TCPA ("the Fax"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and made a part hereof. Upon information and belief, Defendant has sent the Fax and other facsimile transmissions of unsolicited advertisements to Plaintiff and the Class in violation of the TCPA. The Fax describes the commercial availability or quality of Defendant's property, goods or services, namely, Defendant's "New Musculoskeletal Newsletter," and states: "We are excited to announce the launch of the new eviCore healthcare (formerly Triad) Musculoskeletal Newsletter" (Exhibit A). Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendant has sent, and continues to send, unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmission in violation of the TCPA, including but not limited to the advertisement sent to Plaintiff.

- 3. Unsolicited faxes damage their recipients. A junk fax recipient loses the use of its fax machine, paper, and ink toner. An unsolicited fax wastes the recipient's valuable time that would have been spent on something else. A junk fax intrudes into the recipient's seclusion and violates the recipient's right to privacy. Unsolicited faxes occupy phone lines, prevent fax machines from receiving authorized faxes, prevent their use for authorized incoming faxes, cause undue wear and tear on the recipients' fax machines, and require additional labor to attempt to discern the source and purpose of the unsolicited message.
- 4. On behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff brings this case as a class action asserting claims against Defendant under the TCPA. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class comprising all that were sent the Fax and other unsolicited fax advertisements that were sent without prior express invitation or permission and without compliant opt-out language (to the extent the affirmative defense of established business relationship is alleged). Plaintiff seeks statutory damages for each violation of the TCPA and injunctive relief.

5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that this action is based upon a common nucleus of operative facts because the facsimile transmissions at issue were and are being done in the same or similar manner. This action is based on the same legal theory, namely liability under the TCPA. This action seeks relief expressly authorized by the TCPA: (i) injunctive relief enjoining Defendant, its employees, agents, representatives, contractors, and affiliates, and all persons and entities acting in concert with them, from sending unsolicited advertisements in violation of the TCPA; and (ii) an award of statutory damages in the minimum amount of \$500 for each violation of the TCPA, and to have such damages trebled, as provided by § 227(b)(3) of the Act, in the event willfulness in violating the TCPA is shown.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. § 227.
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant transacts business within this judicial district, has made contacts within this judicial district, and/or has committed tortious acts within this judicial district.
- 8. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this judicial district.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff, JESSE DEAN, D.C., is a Tennessee resident who owns and operates Milan Chiropractic & Sports Injury Clinic, a medical practice and pain center in Milan, Tennessee.

10. On information and belief, Defendant, CARECORE NATIONAL, LLC, is a New York limited liability company with its principal place of business in Bluffton, South Carolina. CareCore operates under the assumed name eviCore healthcare.

FACTS

- 11. On or about September 15, 2015, Defendant sent an unsolicited facsimile to Plaintiff using a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device. *See* Exhibit A.
 - 12. The Fax states, in part, the following:

eviCore healthcare

New Musculoskeletal Newsletter

. . .

Sign Up for the **NEW** MSK Newsletter!

We are excited to announce the launch of the new eviCore healthcare (formerly Triad) Musculoskeletal Newsletter!

You can now receive important updates that are **delivered directly to your inbox** as opposed to fax communications. Our new digital communication allows us to instantly send information to you the moment we hear about it. By subscribing to our newsletter, you will have first-hand access to pertinent information such as changes to existing processes/plans, education opportunities, and webinar training sessions.

Our e-mail blasts will be your go-to source for updates, and you'll be able to share these announcements with others – *without having to leave your desk!*

. . .

To sign up for the eviCore healthcare Musculoskeletal (MSK) Newsletter, send an email request to MSKprovidernewsletter@evicore.com. Please include the below information so we can better target our communication:

. . . .

(Exhibit A). The Fax provides no opt-out instructions.

- 13. The Fax advertises the commercial availability and quality of Defendant's new Musculoskeletal Newsletter. On that basis, the Fax is an advertisement under the TCPA and regulations implementing the TCPA.
 - 14. Defendant provides managed healthcare services. See Internet Google result:

eviCore healthcare

Organization



CareCore National, LLC, doing business as eviCore healthcare, provides managed healthcare services. The Company offers radiology, radiation therapy, cardiology, medical oncology, lab management, and health plan, as well as other specialty solutions.

Additionally, see from Defendant's website, www.evicore.com:

ABOUT EVICORE: Empowering the Improvement of Care

Specifically designed with the size and scale to address the complexity of today's and tomorrow's healthcare system, eviCore is a company committed to advancing healthcare management through intelligent care – and enabling better outcomes for patients, providers, and health plans.

Ours is an evidence-based approach that leverages our exceptional capabilities, powerful analytics, and acute sensitivity to the challenges and needs of everyone involved across the healthcare continuum. By applying proven talent and leading-edge technology, we harness healthcare's evolving demand and inherent change to realize healthcare innovation and deliver improved results and a positive experience for everyone.

Who We Empower

eviCore empowers the improvement of care by connecting patients, providers, and health plans with intelligent, evidence-based solutions to enable better outcomes.

15. On information and belief, Defendant receives some or all of the revenues from the sale of the property, goods or services advertised on Exhibit A, and Defendant profits and benefits from the sale of the property, goods or services advertised on Exhibit A.

- 16. Plaintiff did not give "prior express invitation or permission" to Defendant to send the Fax.
- 17. On information and belief, Defendant faxed the same and other unsolicited facsimile advertisements without compliant opt-out language to Plaintiff and at least 40 other recipients.
- 18. There is no reasonable means for Plaintiff (or any other class member) to avoid receiving unauthorized fax advertisements. Fax machines are left on and ready to receive the urgent communications their owners desire to receive.
- 19. Defendant's facsimile attached as Exhibit A does not display a proper opt-out notice as required by 47 C.F.R. § 227(b)(1)(C) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

20. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3), Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to the TCPA on behalf of the following class of persons:

All persons who (1) on or after four years prior to the filing of this action, (2) were sent telephone facsimile messages of material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services by or on behalf of Defendant, and (3) from whom Defendant did not obtain "prior express invitation or permission" to send fax advertisements, or (4) with whom Defendant did not have an established business relationship, or (5) where the fax advertisements did not include an opt-out notice compliant with 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iii) regarding any claimed business relationship.

Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its employees and agents, and members of the Judiciary. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class which includes, but is not limited to, the fax advertisements sent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition upon completion of class certification discovery.

6

- 21. <u>Class Size (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1))</u>: Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that the number of persons and entities of the Plaintiff Class is numerous and joinder of all members is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that the number of class members is at least forty.
- 22. <u>Commonality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2)):</u> Common questions of law and fact apply to the claims of all class members. Common material questions of fact and law include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Whether the Fax and other faxes sent during the class period constitute advertisements under the TCPA and its implementing regulations;
 - (b) Whether Defendant meets the definition of "sender" for direct TCPA liability, meaning a "person or entity on whose behalf a facsimile unsolicited advertisement is sent or whose goods or services are advertised or promoted in the unsolicited advertisement," 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(f)(10);
 - (c) Whether Defendant had prior express invitation or permission to send Plaintiff and the class fax advertisements;
 - (d) Whether the faxes contain an "opt-out notice" that complies with the requirements of § (b)(1)(C)(iii) of the Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and the effect of the failure to comply with such requirements;
 - (e) Whether Defendant should be enjoined from faxing advertisements in the future;
 - (f) Whether Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to statutory damages; and
 - (g) Whether the Court should award treble damages.

- 23. <u>Typicality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3))</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all class members. Plaintiff received the same or similar faxes as the faxes sent by or on behalf of Defendant advertising the availability or quality of Defendant's property, goods or services of during the Class Period. Plaintiff is making the same claims and seeking the same relief for himself and all class members based upon the same federal statute. Defendant has acted in the same or in a similar manner with respect to Plaintiff and all the class members by sending Plaintiff and each member of the class the same or similar fax or faxes which did not contain the proper opt-out language or were sent without prior express invitation or permission.
- 24. <u>Fair and Adequate Representation (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)):</u> Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class. Plaintiff is interested in this matter, has no conflicts, and has retained experienced class counsel to represent the class.
- 25. <u>Predominance and Superiority (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)):</u> Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy because:
 - (a) Proof of Plaintiff's claims will also prove the claims of the class without the need for separate or individualized proceedings;
 - (b) Evidence regarding defenses or any exceptions to liability that Defendant may assert and attempt to prove will come from Defendant's records and will not require individualized or separate inquiries or proceedings;
 - (c) Defendant has acted and is continuing to act pursuant to common policies or practices in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members;

- (d) The amount likely to be recovered by individual class members does not support individual litigation. A class action will permit a large number of relatively small claims involving virtually identical facts and legal issues to be resolved efficiently in one proceeding based upon common proofs; and
 - (e) This case is inherently manageable as a class action in that:
 - (i) Defendant identified persons to receive the fax transmissions and it is believed that Defendant's and/or Defendant's agents' computers and business records will enable Plaintiff to readily identify class members and establish liability and damages;
 - (ii) Liability and damages can be established for Plaintiff and the class with the same common proofs;
 - (iii) Statutory damages are provided for in the statute and are the same for all class members and can be calculated in the same or a similar manner;
 - (iv) A class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims and it will foster economics of time, effort and expense;
 - (v) A class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions concerning Defendant's practices; and
 - (vi) As a practical matter, the claims of the class are likely to go unaddressed absent class certification.

Claim for Relief for Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

26. The TCPA makes it unlawful for any person to "use any telephone facsimile machine, computer or other device to send, to a telephone facsimile machine, an unsolicited advertisement" 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C).

- 27. The TCPA defines "unsolicited advertisement" as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission, in writing or otherwise." 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a) (5).
- 28. **Opt-Out Notice Requirements.** The TCPA as amended by the JFPA strengthened the prohibitions against the sending of unsolicited advertisements by requiring, in § (b)(1)(C)(iii) of the Act, that senders of faxed advertisements place a clear and conspicuous notice on the first page of the transmission that contains the following among other things (hereinafter collectively the "Opt-Out Notice Requirements"):
 - (1) A statement that the recipient is legally entitled to opt-out of receiving future faxed advertisements knowing that he or she has the legal right to request an opt-out gives impetus for recipients to make such a request, if desired;
 - (2) A statement that the sender must honor a recipient's opt-out request within 30 days and the sender's failure to do so is unlawful thereby encouraging recipients to opt-out, if they did not want future faxes, by advising them that their opt-out requests will have legal "teeth";
 - (3) A statement advising the recipient that he or she may opt-out with respect to all of his or her facsimile telephone numbers and not just the ones that receive a faxed advertisement from the sender thereby instructing a recipient on how to make a valid opt-out request for all of his or her fax machines;
 - (4) The opt-out language must be conspicuous.

The requirement of (1) above is incorporated from § (b)(D)(ii) of the Act. The requirement of (2) above is incorporated from § (b)(D)(ii) of the Act and the rules and regulations of the Federal

Communications Commission (the "FCC") in ¶ 31 of its 2006 Report and Order, 21 F.C.C.R. 3787, 2006 WL 901720, which rules and regulations took effect on August 1, 2006). The requirements of (3) above are contained in § (b)(2)(E) of the Act and incorporated into the Opt-Out Notice Requirements via § (b)(2)(D)(ii). Compliance with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements is neither difficult nor costly. The Opt-Out Notice Requirements are important consumer protections bestowed by Congress upon consumers and businesses, giving them the right, and means, to stop unwanted fax advertisements.

- 29. **2006 FCC Report and Order.** The TCPA, in § (b)(2) of the Act, directed the FCC to implement regulations regarding the TCPA, including the TCPA's Opt-Out Notice Requirements and the FCC did so in its 2006 Report and Order, which in addition provides among other things:
 - A. The definition of, and the requirements for, an established business relationship (EBR) for purposes of the first of the three prongs of an exemption to liability under $\S(b)(1)(C)(i)$ of the Act and provides that the lack of an "established business relationship" precludes the ability to invoke the exemption contained in $\S(b)(1)(C)$ of the Act (see 2006 Report and Order ¶ 8-12 and 17-20);
 - B. The required means by which a recipient's facsimile telephone number must be obtained for purposes of the second of the three prongs of the exemption under $\S(b)(1)(C)(ii)$ of the Act, and provides that the failure to comply with these requirements precludes the ability to invoke the exemption contained in $\S(b)(1)(C)$ of the Act (see 2006 Report and Order ¶ 13-16); and
 - C. The things that must be done in order to comply with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements for the purposes of the third of the three prongs of the exemption under

§ (b)(1)(C)(iii) of the Act, and provides that the failure to comply with these requirements precludes the ability to invoke the exemption contained in § (b)(1)(C) of the Act (see 2006 Report and Order \P 24-34).

As a result thereof, a sender of a faxed advertisement who fails to comply with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements cannot claim the exemption from liability contained in \S (b)(C)(1) of the Act.

- 30. The Fax. On or about September 15, 2015, Defendant sent the Fax via facsimile transmission from telephone facsimile machines, computers, or other devices to the telephone lines and facsimile machines of Plaintiff and members of the Plaintiff Class. The Fax constituted an advertisement under the Act and the regulations implementing the Act. Defendant failed to comply with the Opt-Out Requirements in connection with the Fax. The Fax was transmitted to persons or entities without their prior express invitation or permission and Defendant is precluded from sustaining the EBR safe harbor with Plaintiff and other members of the class, because of the failure to comply with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements. By virtue thereof, Defendant violated the TCPA and the regulations promulgated thereunder by sending the Fax via facsimile transmission to Plaintiff and members of the Class. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class which includes this Fax and all others sent during the four years prior to the filing of this case through the present.
- 31. **Defendant's Other Violations.** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that during the period preceding four years of the filing of this Complaint and repeatedly thereafter, Defendant has sent via facsimile transmission from telephone facsimile machines, computers, or other devices to telephone facsimile machines of members of the Plaintiff Class other faxes that constitute advertisements under the TCPA and its

implementing regulations that were transmitted to persons or entities without their prior express invitation or permission and without complying with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements. By virtue thereof, Defendant violated the TCPA and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that Defendant may be continuing to send unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmission in violation of the TCPA and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and absent intervention by this Court, will do so in the future.

- 32. The TCPA provides a private right of action to bring this action on behalf of Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class to redress Defendant's violations of the Act, and provides for statutory damages. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3). The Act also provides that injunctive relief is appropriate. *Id.*
- 33. The TCPA is a strict liability statute, so Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the other class members even if their actions were only negligent.
- 34. Defendant knew or should have known that (a) Plaintiff and the other class members had not given prior express invitation or permission for Defendant or anybody else to fax advertisements about the availability or quality of Defendant's property, goods or services; (b) Defendant transmitted advertisements; (c) the Fax did not contain the required Opt-Out Notice; and (d) Defendant's transmission of unsolicited advertisements that did not contain the required opt-out notice was unlawful.
- 35. Defendant's actions caused damages to Plaintiff and the other class members. Receiving Defendant's junk faxes caused Plaintiff and the other recipients to lose paper and toner consumed in the printing of Defendant's faxes. Moreover, Defendant's faxes occupied Plaintiff's and the other class members' telephone lines and fax machines. Defendant's faxes cost

Plaintiff and the other class members time, as Plaintiff and the other class members and their

employees wasted their time receiving, reviewing and routing Defendant's unauthorized faxes.

That time otherwise would have been spent on Plaintiff's and the other class members' business

or personal activities. Defendant's faxes intruded into Plaintiff's and other class members'

seclusion and violated their right to privacy, including their interests in being left alone. Finally,

the injury and property damage sustained by Plaintiff and the other class members from the

sending of Defendant's advertisements occurred outside of Defendant's premises.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JESSE DEAN, D.C., individually and on behalf of all others

similarly situated, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendant, CARECORE

NATIONAL, LLC, as follows:

That the Court adjudge and decree that the present case may be properly A.

maintained as a class action, appoint Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and appoint

Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class;

B. That the Court award actual monetary loss from such violations or the sum of five

hundred dollars (\$500.00) for each violation, whichever is greater, and that the Court award

treble damages of \$1,500.00 if the violations are deemed "willful or knowing";

C. That Court enjoin Defendant from additional violations; and

That the Court award pre-judgment interest, costs, and such further relief as the D.

Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: September 13, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

JESSE DEAN, D.C., a Tennessee resident, individually and as the representative of a class of

similarly-situated persons

14

By: /s/ Benjamin C. Aaron
Benjamin C. Aaron (#034118)
Charles F. Barrett (#20627)
NEAL AND HARWELL, PLC
1201 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1000
Nashville, TN 37203

Telephone: 615-244-1713 Fascimile: 615-726-0573 baaron@nealharwell.com cbarrett@nealharwell.com

and

Ryan M. Kelly (pro hac vice to be submitted) ANDERSON + WANCA 3701 Algonquin Road, Suite 500 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008 Telephone: 847-368-1500

Fax: 847-368-1501

rkelly@andersonwanca.com